

UA's deferred maintenance/renewal & repurposing backlog has grown to nearly \$1.5 billion

Deferred Maintenance (DM) project costs are determined using several methods depending on project size, complexity, expert se, and funding status. ProjeO Hire eng

Facility deferred maintenance and renewal has been the Board of Regents' number one capital priority for more than twenty years.

UA is responsible for maintaining facilit es and infrastructure across the state, with 400 facilit es totaling 8.2 million gross square feet, an average age of 37 years, a replacement value of \$7.1 billion, and a deferred maintenance/renewal & repurposing (DM/R&R) backlog of nearly \$1.5 billion.

A request for deferred maintenance funding is necessary af er years of unfunded deferral of crit cal capital projects which have increased the risk of building closures.

Facility maintenance funding reduces future operating costs; improves safety, energy efficiency, student success and accessibility; extends the life span of facilities; helps to at ract world-class faculty, students and researchers; and leverages federal and private funds.

UA facilit es are used by many people, including travelers and wildland f ref ghters, for the good of all Alaska.

complex projects with eminent funding).

- University staf with decades of experience in designing, est mat ng, and construct ng projects (small or medium projects).
- University staf produce est mates for projects by comparing them to actual previous project costs adjusted for inf at on and/or cost data provided by external consultants (future projects).

Of en, reduced funding forces projects to be delayed or canceled while addit onal funds are sought or the funding is redirected to the next most urgent DM/R&R need.

Other factors also lead to cost escalat on including:

- Limited funds require repriorit zat on, leading to alterat ons in project scopes or requiring addit onal design resources to f t larger scopes into smaller budgets.
- Insuf cient and unpredictable funding leads to a lack of project workf ow, result ng in construct on delays.
- Inf at on has signif cantly outpaced the capital budget, leading to delays as projects wait for addit onal funding to account for increased bid prices
- · Local construct on cost pressures such

